

REMARKS

This application has been reviewed in light of the Office Action dated December 17, 2003. Claims 10-12, 17-19, 21, 32, 34, 37, and 39 are pending in this application. Claims 38 and 40 have been canceled, without prejudice or disclaimer of subject matter. Claims 11 and 21, which are the independent claims, have been amended to define still more clearly what Applicants regard as their invention. Favorable reconsideration is requested.

First, Applicants acknowledge the indication that Claims 10-12, 17-19, 21, 32, 34, 37, and 39 include allowable subject matter and would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the claim objections and 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, rejections described below. Applicants, as described below, believe that the claim objections and Section 112, second paragraph, rejections have been overcome, and therefore respectfully request allowance of the above-identified application.

The Office Action objected to Claims 38 and 40 under 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(C), as being in improper dependent form. Cancellation of these claims renders this objection moot.

The Office Action objected to Claims 11 and 21, relating to the singular or plural form of certain claim elements. Applicants have adopted the Examiner's recommendation to overcome this objection by amending the claim to clarify that color filter and focusing lens are intended to be in the singular form. Applicants believe that this objection has been overcome, and its withdrawal is therefore respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejected Claims 10-12, 17-19, 21, 32, 34, and 37-40 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point

out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. Cancellations of Claims 38 and 40 renders their rejections moot. Applicants have amended Claims 11 and 21 to improve the antecedent basis of “well contacts.” In addition, Applicants have not amended “well wiring” therefore this recitation remains in the singular form.

This Amendment After Final Action is believed to place this application in condition for allowance since Applicants believe that it has overcome the claim objections and Section 112, second paragraph, rejections described above, and, therefore, its entry is believed proper under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116. Accordingly, entry of this Amendment After Final Action, as an earnest effort to advance prosecution and reduce the number of issues, is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner believe that issues remain outstanding, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner contact Applicants' undersigned attorney in an effort to resolve such issues and advance the case to issue.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our New York Office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Peter G. Thurlow
Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 47,138

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

NY_MAIN 404707v1